

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via  
EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office  
on May 11, 2006

PATENT  
Docket No.: 014801-001420US  
Client Ref. No.: PD495

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: Jeanette Olivera  
Jeanette Olivera

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

David W. Andrews, et al.

Application No.: 10/081,556

Filed: February 20, 2002

For: TRANSIT BEST FARE SYSTEM  
AND METHOD

Confirmation No.: 4278

Examiner: Igor N. Borissov

Art Unit: 3639

COMMUNICATION – INTERVIEW  
SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Interview Summary mailed April 20, 2006. Thus this reply is believed to be timely filed and no extension of time or fee is believed to be necessary. In that Summary, the Examiner stated the interview was conducted between himself and Steven M. Jensen as Applicant's representative. Please note that the telephonic interview that took place on March 27, 2006 was between Patent Owner's attorney representative, Raymond B. Hom, and Examiner Igor Borissov.

Interview Summary

Participants

A telephonic interview was conducted on March 27, 2006 between Patent Owner's attorney representative, Raymond B. Hom, and Examiner Igor Borissov (the

interview participants). Applicant thanks the Examiner for the telephone conference discussing the objections to the claims.

Exhibits

No exhibits were shown nor were any demonstrations conducted during the course of the interview.

Claims Discussed

The interview participants discussed claims 19, 28, 36, and 39. No prior art references were discussed during the course of the interview.

Substance of Interview

In the telephone conversation of March 27, 2006, the Examiner objected to claims 19 and 36 because the claims include elements as "processors for" performing a function. The Examiner suggests that the language should be "processors configured to" perform a function.

The interview participants reached an agreement, and Applicant authorized the Examiner to enter an Examiner's amendment in accordance with the amendments to claims 19 and 36 shown above. The amendments changed the text adjacent the "processor" terms, such that the processors are "configured to" perform a function.

Respectfully submitted,



Raymond B. Hom  
Reg. No. 44,773

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
Tel: (415) 576-0200  
Fax: (415) 576-0300  
RBH:jo  
60763410 v1